PHD REVIEW OF PHA PLANS TO IMPLEMENT
A PROJECT-BASED CERTIFICATE PROGRAM

PHA NAME: _____

DATE OF POSTMARK ON PHA SUBMISSION: _____

15 PERCENT LIMIT

1. Number of units under ACC and reserved but not yet under ACC for the PHA's certificate program as indicated in the PHA submission (1)_____

2. Number of units under ACC and reserved but not yet under ACC as indicated in the ACC itself and any recent notification letters to the PHA (2)_____

	YES	NO
3. Does the entry on line (1) equal the entry on line (2)?	_____	_____

ANY DISCREPANCY BETWEEN THE NUMBER OF UNITS SHOWN BY THE PHA AND THE NUMBER OF UNITS SHOWN BY HUD MUST BE RESOLVED, THROUGH A REVIEW OF ALL FUNDING ACTIONS AND UNIT SIZE REDISTRIBUTIONS IF NECESSARY, BEFORE THE REVIEW CAN PROCEED.

4. Number of units under ACC and reserved but not yet under ACC as agreed by HUD and PHA (4)_____

5. Line (4) x .15
(Result must be rounded down)
THIS IS THE 15 PERCENT LIMIT (5)_____

6. The total number of units for which the PHA is requesting approval to attach assistance in this submission. (6)_____

7. Total number of units for which the PHA has previously received HUD approval to attach assistance. (7)_____

8. Total units for which PBC has been

approved plus the number being requested (8)_____

YES NO

9. Is the number on line (8) less than or equal to the number on line (5)? (9) _____ _____

THE NUMBER OF UNITS FOR WHICH THE PHA
MAY BE AUTHORIZED TO ATTACH ASSISTANCE
MAY NOT EXCEED THE NUMBER ON LINE (5).

UNIT SIZE DISTRIBUTION MUST BE CONSISTENT WITH FUNDING SOURCE

10.Total number of units for which PHA is requesting approval to attach assistance (10)_____

Appendix 36

11. The number of units to be subsidized by funding source and unit size:

(Column 1) (Column 2)

PROPOSED BY PHA ACC UNIT SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Funding Source: _____

Unit Size Distribution:		Unit Size Distribution:	
_____	0-BR	_____	0-BR
_____	1-BR	_____	1-BR
_____	2-BR	_____	2-BR
_____	3-BR	_____	3-BR
_____	4-ER	_____	4-BR
_____	TOTAL	_____	TOTAL

(Use the following if more than one funding source is identified)

Funding Source: _____

Unit Size Distribution:		Unit Size Distribution:	
_____	0-BR	_____	0-BR
_____	1-BR	_____	1-BR
_____	2-BR	_____	2-BR
_____	3-BR	_____	3-BR
_____	4-BR	_____	4-BR
_____	TOTAL	_____	TOTAL

YES NO

12. Does the total number of units shown on line (10) equal the total number of units for all funding sources in column 1? _____ _____

The PHA must identify the funding source and unit size for all units to be subsidized.

YES NO

13. Is each entry in Column 1 less than or equal to each corresponding entry in Column 2? _____ _____

THE NUMBER AND SIZES OF UNITS FOR WHICH THE PHA IS REQUESTING APPROVAL TO ATTACH ASSISTANCE MUST BE CONSISTENT WITH THE UNIT SIZE DISTRIBUTION FOR THE ACC THAT IS THE FUNDING SOURCE.

TERMINATION DATE OF HAP CONTRACT MUST BE CONSISTENT WITH EXPIRATION OF FUNDING SOURCE

For each proposed HAP contract, enter what the PHA has indicated for the following:

Estimated HAP Contract Termination Date	Funding Source for the HAP Contract	ACC Expiration Date for the Funding Source

14. For each proposed HAP contract termination date, is the ACC expiration date for the funding source on or after the HAP contract termination date?

YES NO

_____ _____

15.Is the ACC expiration date for the funding source at least 2 years from the anticipated date of HUD notification to the PHA of approval or disapproval of its plans to implement a PBC program?

YES NO

_____ _____

IF PROPOSED HAP CONTRACT TERMS ARE INCONSISTENT WITH THE TERMS OF THE FUNDING SOURCES, THE PHD SHOULD PROVIDE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE CONCERNING HOW THE HAP CONTRACT TERM IS RELATED TO THE TERM OF THE FUNDING SOURCE.

IF ANY OF THE "NO" BOXES ARE CHECKED, THE PHD MAY NOT APPROVE THE PHA'S PLANS TO IMPLEMENT PBC AS SUBMITTED. THE PHA MUST MAKE APPROPRIATE MODIFICATIONS TO ITS SUBMISSION TO MEET PBC REQUIREMENTS OR THE PHA SUBMISSION MUST BE DISAPPROVED.

_____ _____

Reviewer
Date